

R E M A R K S

The claims are amended to avoid the "objections. To expedite allowance at the late phase of prosecution, claims are cancelled to stress the main features of the case. Applicants maintain that identification of a central area is disclosed in the 1996 parent applications and that use of a beam splitter with any laser is also in all the parent disclosures as well as use of a diffraction device with any laser in 1996 to form sub beams and a central beam.

In HORIBA there is no teaching of lasers or a teaching of identification of the edge of the field of view; DARRINGER teaches identification of only the center of the field of view and also does not teach identification of edges, since no beams are directed to the edges. Accordingly, these references do not suggest use of multiple lasers to identify the edges of the field of view. There are no multiple laser beams used in these references.

Further consideration is requested.

Respectfully,



William A. Drucker
Registration No. 17,951

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.